



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/490,553	01/25/2000	Jeffrey A. Morgan	10992213-1	7289

22879 7590 06/16/2003

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

LIN, KENNY S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/490,553	MORGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenny Lin	2154	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-35 are presented for examination.
2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Suggested title "A SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING INTERNET-RELATED SERVICES IN RESPONSE TO HANDHELD DEVICES THAT ARE NOT THEMSELVES INTERNET-ENABLED".
3. Page 3 of the specification contains holes punched through on the top of the page during office processing. Please submit a new clean copy of page 3. Failure to do so may cause delays at the time of issuing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in prior office action.
5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following phrase is grammatically incorrect:
 - i. ...is does not have... - claim 23, line 1. (i.e., remove "is").

Art Unit: 2154

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 10-11, 13, 15-16, 20, 22-24, 29 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Daswani et al (hereinafter Daswani), U.S. Patent 6,477,565.

7. As per claim 1, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed including a system for providing Internet-related services in response to a handheld device without requiring the handheld device to itself be Internet-enabled, (col.4, lines 47-54, col.5, lines 3-12, col.11, lines 5-13), comprising:

- a. A client module embedded in the handheld device to enable the handheld device to send a selected stored URL via a local communication link, wherein the URL indicates a desired Internet web page (col.8, lines 15-21, it is inherently known that the request can be a URL for retrieving a web page);
- b. A receiver that receives the URL sent from the handheld device via the local communication link (col.8, lines 15-24);
- c. A web access module coupled to the receiver and to an external Internet via an Internet communication link different from said local communication link to access and retrieve the desired web page from a remote web server via the external Internet (col.8, lines 1-24); and
- d. A render system coupled to the web access module to render the retrieved web page to the user of the handheld device (col.8, lines 24-28).

Art Unit: 2154

8. As per claim 13, Daswani taught the invention as claimed including a system for providing an Internet-related service from a remote Internet-related server via an Internet communication link based on a URL indicated by a handheld device (col.5, lines 3-12, col.11, lines 5-13), comprising:

- a. A receiver module to receive the URL from the handheld device via the communication link (col.8, lines 15-24, it is inherently known that the request can be a URL for retrieving a web page);
- b. A web access module to access and retrieve the Internet-related service via the Internet communication link based on the URL (col.8, lines 1-24);
- c. A render system module to render the retrieved Internet-related service (col.8, lines 15-24),

wherein the receiver module, the web access module, and the render module are all physically separated from the handheld device (fig. 1).

9. As per claim 29, Daswani taught the invention as claimed including a mobile system capable of communicating with a gateway module, which comprise a web access module to access and retrieve an Internet-related service from a remote Internet-related server via an Internet communication link based on a URL (col.8, lines 1-24); and a render module to render the received Internet-related service (col.8, lines 15-24), the mobile system comprising:

- a. A client module to enable sending the URL via a communication link to the gateway module for use in the access and retrieval of the Internet-related service, wherein the gateway module communicates the retrieved

Art Unit: 2154

Internet-related service with the rendering module, which renders of the retrieved Internet-related service in proximity to the mobile system (col.8, lines 1-24, it is inherently known that the request can be a URL for retrieving a web page).

10. As per claim 32, Daswani taught the invention as claimed including a gateway system capable of receiving a communication including URL via a communication link from a mobile system (col.8, lines 1-24, it is inherently known that the request can be a URL for retrieving a web page), said gateway system comprising:

- a. A communication module to receive the communication from mobile system (col.8, lines 15-24);
- b. A web access module to access and retrieve an Internet-related service from a remote Internet-related server via an Internet communication link based on the URL (col.8, lines 1-24); and
- c. A render module to receive the retrieved Internet-related service n proximity to the mobile system (col.8, lines 15-24).

11. As per claim 2, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claim 1. Daswani further taught that the handheld device fits into a user's palm (palm PDA, pager, col.6, lines 14-16, 35-37).

12. As per claims 4 and 33, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 32. Daswani further taught a communication module in the handheld device that receives

Art Unit: 2154

the URL from a remote site via a second communication link coupled to the communication module (col.6, lines 14-26, it is inherently known that data received from the data center can include URL).

13. As per claim 5, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claim 4. Daswani further taught that the second communication link is a link to a wireless network (col.6, lines 14-26).

14. As per claims 7 and 34, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 33. Daswani further taught that the receiver, the web access module, and the render system all physically reside within a single enclosure separate from the handheld device (fig.1).

15. As per claims 10-11 and 15-16, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 3. Daswani further taught that the web access module communicates with the remote web server via the Internet communication link using an open standard communication protocol such as HTTP (col.1, lines 28-30, col.5, lines 20-24, 50-58, col.7, lines 42-45).

16. As per claim 20, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani further taught that the rendering system is a device-specific rendering system (col.8, lines 1-27).

Art Unit: 2154

17. As per claim 22, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani further taught that the handheld device is a pager (col.6, lines 14-16, 35-37).

18. As per claims 23, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani further taught that the client module does not have Internet access function and does not include an Internet web browser application program or provide any direct connectivity to the Internet (col.4, lines 51-54, col.5, lines 3-12, col.11, lines 5-13).

19. As per claims 24, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani further taught that the client module has Internet access function and include an Internet web browser, but neither the Internet access function nor the Internet web browser are utilized to send the URL via the local communication link (col.4, lines 51-54, col.5, lines 3-12, col.11, lines 5-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

20. Claims 3, 6, 8-9, 12, 14, 17-19, 21, 25-28, 30-31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daswani, U.S. Patent 6,477,565.

21. As per claims 3 and 30, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 29. Daswani did not specifically teach to further comprising a memory coupled with the handheld device to store at least one URL, wherein the URL sent is selected from the at least one URL. However, it is well known in the art that many handheld devices contain memory to store data. For example, memory are provided in cell phones to store phone

Art Unit: 2154

and contact information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Daswani and further provide memory to the handheld device to store URL for user to bookmark desired web pages.

22. As per claims 6 and 21, Daswani taught the invention as claimed in claim 1.

Daswani further taught that the handheld device is selected from a group of devices consisting of: a pager device, a cellular phone device, a personal organizer device, and a palm pilot device (col.6, lines 14-16, 35-37). Daswani did not specifically teach that the handheld device consisting of a watch device and an information appliance device. However, many different portable devices can be selected to be used in Daswani's system. It would have been a design choice to pick and select all suitable handheld devices usable in the taught invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any suitable handheld devices as the handheld device taught by Daswani so to enable users of different types of handheld devices to have the ability to access and communicate with the web access module taught in Daswani's system and retrieve web page contents.

23. As per claims 8-9 and 17-18, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 3. Daswani did not specifically teach that the communication link is a wireless communication link and is selected from a group of communication links consisting of: an infrared communication link, a radio frequency communication link, a microwave communication link, a laser communication link, and combination thereof. However, it is of a design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement

Art Unit: 2154

different types or combinations of these listed communication links as the wireless communication link. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select types of wireless communication links according to the users' needs or cost of implementation to provide better mobility. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Daswani and a use of wireless communication link of any type or combination of types to eliminate the needs for using physical cables and fully advance the mobility of handheld devices.

24. As per claims 12, 14 and 27-28, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Daswani did not specifically teach that the render system further comprises at least one render system selected from a group of systems consisting of: a printer system, a display system, a projection display system, a user interface display system, an audio/video player system, a Web television system, and a combination thereof. However, it is of a design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art to select different types or combinations of outputting systems as the rendering system according to different needs. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Daswani and a use of outputting systems of any type or combination of types as the rendering system to display or print the desired contents to provide the users' different needs (i.e. presentations, reports).

Art Unit: 2154

25. As per claim 19, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani did not specifically teach that wherein the web access module comprises a web browser without a rendering function. However, since the web access module is used to access and retrieve contents from the Internet, a browser application is needed to browse and select the contents for retrieval. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a web browser to the web access module in Daswani's system to use it for browsing the user desired web pages to retrieve contents.

26. As per claim 25, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani did not specifically teach wherein only the URL is communicated, and the URL is communicated by sending only a few bytes of data. However, it would have been obvious that URL can be communicated by sending only a few bytes of data since URLs are relatively small in size. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to communicate the URL by sending only a few bytes of data since URL is known to be small in size for transmission.

27. As per claim 26, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Daswani did not specifically teach that the URL is in the actual URL form or embedded in a hyperlink. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the URL is in the actual form or embedded in a hyperlink since they are the most common formats. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2154

at the time the invention was made to provide URL in the actual URL form or embedded in a hyperlink to Daswani's system since they are widely used in the art.

28. As per claims 31, Daswani taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 30. Daswani further taught a communication module in the handheld device that receives the URL from a remote site via a second communication link coupled to the communication module (col.6, lines 14-26, it is inherently known that data received from the data center can include URL).

29. As per claim 35, it is a combined claim of claims 1, 7 and 12. Therefore, it is rejected with the same reasons and motivations in combination using the rejection of claims 1, 7 and 12.

Conclusion

30. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18, filed on 3/18/2003, have been considered but are not persuasive and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

31. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2154

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Holmes et al, US 6,334,056, disclosed handheld device markup language rendering.

Smith et al, US 6,311,216, disclosed URL lookup.

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-0438. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tuesday to Friday and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)305-9678. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as follows:

Official Responses: (703) 746-7239


After Final Responses: (703) 746-7238

Draft Responses: (703) 746-7240

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-6121.

ksl
June 12, 2003


ZARNI MAUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER